Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
DEC 2 2 1965
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1965
No. 841

INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS, LOCAL No. 12, *et al.*,

Appellants.

vs.

THOMAS M. DEACON,

Appellee.

On Appeal From the District Court of Appeal of the State of California, Second Appellate District.

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT.

BRUNDAGE & HACKLER,
CHARLES K. HACKLER,
JULIUS REICH,
1621 West Ninth Street,
Los Angeles, Calif. 90015,
Attorneys for Appellants.

Parker & Son, Inc., Law Printers, Los Angeles. Phone MA. 6-9171.

SUBJECT INDEX

	Page
Jurisdictional statement	1
Opinion below	2
Jurisdiction	2
The Statutes involved	3
Questions presented	3
Statement of the case	3
1. How the case arose	3
2. How the federal question is presented	4
The questions are substantial	5
1. Preesumption of state laws by Section 201(c) of the LMRDA	5
a. Statement of the question	5
b. Argument	6
c. Importance of the question	10
2. The facts which must be alleged and proved to make a showing of "just cause"	11
a. "Just cause"	11
b. Must there be a trial on the issue of "just cause"?	11
c. Must the facts constituting "just cause" first be presented to the labor organization?	12
Conclusion	14

INDEX TO APPENDICES

	Page
Appendix A. Judgment	1
Appendix B. Peremptory Writ of Mandate	4
Appendix C. Opinion of the District Court of Appeal	6
Appendix D. Pertinent Statutes involved	16
Appendix E. California Corporations Code, Sec. 3003	17
Appendix F. Letter from Julius Reich to Thomas M. Deacon, dated Nov. 3, 1965	18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page
Beacon Theatres v. Westover, 359 U.S. 500	13,
Goldsmith v. United States Bd. of Tax App., 270 U.S. 117	14
Haiduk v. Atlantic Independent Union, 31 F.R.D. 241	11
Henderson v. Sarle, 197 N.Y.S. 2d 920	10, 11, 13, 14
Hitchcock v. Union & New Haven Trust Co., 134 Conn. 246, 56 A. 2d 655	7
Lawrence v. State Tax Comm'n, 286 U.S. 276	5
Local 20, Teamsters v. Morton, 377 U.S. 252	7
Local 174, Teamsters v. Lucas Flour Co., 369 U.S. 95	7
Local 1419, ILA v. Smith, 301 F. 2d 791	11
McGraw v. United Ass'n., 216 F. Supp. 655	11, 12
Mooney v. Bartenders Union, 48 Cal. 2d 841, 313 P. 2d 857	5, 6
Pakarinen v. Butler Bros., 218 Minn. 496, 16 N.W. 2d 769	7
Reconstruction Fin. Corp. v. County of Beaver, 328 U.S. 204	2
Remisiewski v. Parodi Cigar Co., 1 N.J. Super. 249, 64 A. 2d 93	7

Miscellaneous

House Report, 4473, 86th Cong., 1st Sess., February 16, 1959	9
Senate Report, 748, 86th Cong., 1st Sess., January 28, 1959	8
Senate Report, 1137, 86th Cong., 1st Sess., February 19, 1959	9

Statutes — Page

Alabama Code, Title 26, Sec. 382 6
California Code of Civil Procedure, Sec. 2015.5 4
California Corporations Code, Sec. 3003 ....2; 3, 5, 10
Connecticut Statutes Annotated, Secs. 31-77 6
Florida Statutes Annotated, Sec. 447.07 6
Hawaii Revised Laws, Ch. 90, Sec. 90.11 6
Kansas General Statutes, Art. 8, Ch. 44, Sec. 44.806 (1949) 6
Labor-Management Relations Act, Sec. 301(a) 7
Labor-Management Relations Act, Sec. 303 7
Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act, Sec. 103 10
Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act, Sec. 201(b) 10
Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act, Sec. 201(c) 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11
Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act, Sec. 603(a) 3, 7, 8, 9, 10
Massachusetts General Laws Annotated, Ch. 180, Sec. 18 6
Minnesota Statutes Annotated, Ch. 179.21 6
New York Constitutional Laws Service, Sec. 726 6
South Dakota Code, Title 17, Sec. 17.1105 (1960 Supp.) 6
73 Statutes at Large, p. 519 3
73 Statutes at Large, p. 524 2
Texas Civil Statutes, Art. 5154a, Sec. 3 6

Page

United States Code, Title 28, Sec. 1257(2) 2
United States Code, Title 29, Sec. 185(a) 7
United States Code, Title 29, Sec. 187 7
United States Code, Title 29, Sec. 216 7
United States Code, Title 29, Sec. 523(a) 3, 8
United States Code, Title 29, Sec. 413 10
United States Code, Title 29, Sec. 431(c) 2, 3, 4
Wisconsin Statutes Annotated, Ch. 111, Sec. 111.08 6